

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/933,263	08/20/2001	Daniel J. Eckert	1713-0014	5259
7:	590 11/22/2004		EXAMINER	
Harold C. Moore			SNAPP, SANDRA S	
Maginot, Addison & Moore Bank One Center/Tower			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
111 Monument Circle, Suite 3000			3624	<u> </u>
Indianapolis, IN 46204-5115			DATE MAILED: 11/22/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/933,263	ECKERT ET AL.	N				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Sandra Snapp	3624	\				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the period of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thi vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely NTHS from the mailing date of this or BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 O	<u>ctober 2003</u> .						
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the applicati	ion.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray	wn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 2</u> is/are rejected.	_						
7) Claim(s) 1 is/are objected to.	· · · 						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 January 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		, ,	FR 1.121(d).				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	• • •					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in A rity documents have beer u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National	Stage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)					
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTC	D-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>10-20-03</u> .	6) Other:		-				

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

The Examiner acknowledges that the Applicant has claimed priority to provisional applications 60/226,419, filed 8-18-00 and 60/248,546, filed 6-14-01.

Claim Objections

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: the term "perform" in the third line should actually be "performer." Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 is indefinite because the term "substantially" is vague. It is unclear exactly what the metes and bounds of the claim are when the term "substantially" is used.

Claim 2 is indefinite because it depends from rejected base claim 1.

Application/Control Number: 09/933,263 Page 3

Art Unit: 3624

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Claims 1-2 are directed to non-statutory subject matter because they lack any recitation of technology in the <u>body</u> of the claims, which is required in order to meet the statutory requirements. The Patent Office had taken the position that some form of technology must be claimed in the <u>body</u> of the claim. The Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences has stated that claims lacking any technology are "nothing more than [an] abstract idea which is not tied to any technological art and is not a useful art as contemplated by the Constitution." *Ex parte Bowman*, 61 USPQ2d 1669, 1671 (Bd. Pat. App. & Inter. 2001) (Unpublished). While it is understood that the Bowman case is not precedential, it is cited herein for its content and reasoning. The Examiner suggests inserting some claim language directed to a computer to overcome this rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Mottola patent (US 5,745,885).

The Mottola reference discloses a method of managing risk in a security that is based on the prospective income of a performer, the method comprising: Defining an asset value based on the prospective income of the performer, the asset value defining a security value (col. 3, lines 40-58 and col. 13, lines 32-56),

Defining a minimum acceptable final valuation (col. 9, lines 1-52),

Establishing a first account (col. 15, lines 1-23), and

Receiving into the first account funds in an amount substantially equal to or greater than the minimum acceptable final valuation (col. 15, lines 1-23) (Claim 1); and

The minimum acceptable valuation is equal to the asset value (col. 9, lines 1-52) (Claim 2).

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The Kossovsky, Kincart, Llewelyn, Quackenbush, Birle, Wilkinson, Slyke, Mottola, Ashenmil, Elliott and Selleck patents are all directed to various types of electronic financial systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sandra Snapp whose telephone number is 703-305-6940. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Thurs.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vincent Millin can be reached on 703-308-1065. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 09/933,263 Page 5

Art Unit: 3624

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

SS

SANDRA S. SNAPP
PATENT EXAMINER
GROUP 3500